UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/533,781 | 10/19/2005 | Ekaterina Vladimirovna Barsova | U 015759-8 | 6930 | |
| | 7590 06/18/200 FIELD & FRANCIS LI | r | EXAMINER | | |
| 1900 UNIVERS | SITY AVENUE | BERTOGLIO, VALARIE E | | | |
| SUITE 200 EAST PALO A | LTO, CA 94303 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1632 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/18/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/533,781 | BARSOVA ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Valarie Bertoglio | 1632 | |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence addi | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: cension and the corresponding amount of the chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | FIRST REPLY WAS FIL 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ED WITHIN TWO e extension fee te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmen | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,13,17 and 27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-11,14-16 and 19</u> | 9-26 . | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The rejection under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph is mainta body of claim 1 fails to require that the claimed variants fluorescence and one of skill in the art would not know h | ined. Applicant's arguments have b have fluorescent activity. Thus, the ow to use such non-functional varia | een fully considered. claims read on varian | However, the |
| 12. | Р10/3Β/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | Malaria Portaglia/ | | |
| | /Valarie Bertoglio/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1632 | |
| | | | |